

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/737,042	10/30/96	HEED		В	C-35	620
Г		QM02/0328	_ ¬		EXA	MINER
DVORAK AND TRA	i	LEO.L		<u>.                                    </u>		
53 WEST JACKS		ART	UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO IL 600	604			3743 DATE M	AILED:	25
					03/	28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Application No. 08/737,042

Applica...(s)

Heed

Interview Summary

Examiner Ira S. Lazarus

Group Art Unit 3743

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Leonard R. Leo			
Date of Interview	All part	icipants (applicant, applicant's representative	e, PTO personnel):
Date of Interview	(1) <u>Leo</u>	nard R. Leo	(3) Andrew D. Babcock
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes   No. If yes, brief description:  charts, graphs of temperatures and efficiencies, metal and plastic corrugated sheets  Agreement   was reached.   was not reached.   was not reached.   was not reached.   was not reached.   was reached.   was not r	(2) <u>Keit</u>	th Orum	(4) <i>Bjorn Heed</i>
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes	Date of	Interview Mar 27, 2001	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Agreement was reached. was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: 5  Identification of prior art discussed:  Davis and Jensen  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed Jensen discloses only a portion of the heat transfer plate having corrugations greater than 45 degrees for greater resistance, Jensen does not provide a balanced flow, Examiner will await further amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.  2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	Туре:	☐ Telephonic     ☐ Personal (copy is given	to 🛛 applicant 🗆 applicant's representative).
Claim(s) discussed: 5  Identification of prior art discussed:  Davis and Jensen  Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  discussed Jensen discloses only a portion of the heat transfer plate having corrugations greater than 45 degrees for greater resistance, Jensen does not provide a balanced flow, Examiner will await further amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  1.			
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   discussed Jensen discloses only a portion of the heat transfer plate having corrugations greater than 45 degrees for greater resistance, Jensen does not provide a balanced flow, Examiner will await further amendment.    (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.]	•		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  discussed Jensen discloses only a portion of the heat transfer plate having corrugations greater than 45 degrees for greater resistance, Jensen does not provide a balanced flow, Examiner will await further amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.  2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	Claim(s	) discussed: <u>5</u>	· · ·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable must be attached.)  1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.  2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.		•	
the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  1.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.  2.   Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.  2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	the cla	ms allowable must be attached. Also, where able, a summary thereof must be attached.)	e no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	Unless LAST (	the paragraph above has been checked to inc DFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST 1713.04). If a response to the last Office ac	dicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP thin has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH
Jeon 17	2.	each of the objections, rejections and requir claims are now allowable, this completed for Office action. Applicant is not relieved from	rements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the orm is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last
			Jeon 1 J

1RA S. LAZARUS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3743